

Green Hill Solar Farm

EN010170

Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy

Revision D

REDACTED

Prepared by: Clarkson and Woods Ltd.

Date: March 2026

Document Reference: EX6/GH7.5_D

APFP Regulation 5(2)(q)



Schedule of Changes

Revision	Date	Section Reference	Description of Changes
A	Examination Deadline 1 – November 2025	Throughout	Updates to document references as required for submission at Deadline 1.
		Method Statement 6	Amendments to Method Statement 6 to include avoidance period and other mitigation measures relating to spawning fish.
B	Examination Deadline 4 – January 2026	Cover	Updated to Revision B as required for submission at Deadline 4.
		Throughout	Updates to document references as required for submission at Deadline 4.
		Method Statement 2	Amendments to Method Statement 2 to confirm approach to works within protective ecological buffer zones.
C	Examination Deadline 5 – February 2026	Cover	Updated to Revision C as required for submission at Deadline 5.
		Throughout	Updates to document references as required for submission at Deadline 5.
		Method Statement 6	Minor amendments to clarify that the measures therein relate to the installation of internal cable routes within the Sites, as well as works within the Cable Route Corridor.
		Method Statement 10	Minor amendments to align with Method Statement 13.
		Method Statement 12	Correction of Method Statement number (previously two Method Statements were listed as Method Statement 11).
		Method Statement 13	New Method Statement prepared consolidating detail around mitigation of Functionally Linked Land, in response to RIES, as required for Deadline 5.
D	Examination Deadline 6 – March 2026	Cover	Updated to Revision D as required for submission at Deadline 6.
		Throughout	Updates to document references as required for submission at Deadline 6.
		Method Statement 2	Clarification around provision of buffers; in particular, in relation to ancient woodland.



Contents

<u>1</u>	<u>Introduction</u>	<u>5</u>
1.2	Responsible Personnel & Lines of Communication	6
1.3	Site Manager(s)	6
1.4	Ecological Clerk of Works	6
1.5	Contact Details	7
1.6	Designated Sites	7
1.7	Habitats	8
1.8	Species and Species Groups	8
<u>2</u>	<u>Method Statement 1: Toolbox Talks</u>	<u>10</u>
2.1	Objectives	10
2.2	Toolbox Talks	10
<u>3</u>	<u>Method Statement 2: Installation of Biodiversity Protection (Buffer) Fencing</u>	<u>11</u>
3.1	Objectives	11
3.2	Toolbox Talk	11
3.3	PV and BESS Sites	11
3.4	Cable Installation	13
3.5	Non-Standard Works within Biodiversity Protection Areas	14
<u>4</u>	<u>Method Statement 3: Pollution Prevention Measures</u>	<u>16</u>
4.1	Objectives	16
4.2	Toolbox Talk	16
4.3	Working During Prolonged Wet Weather	16
4.4	Working During Prolonged Dry Weather	17
4.5	Minimisation of Water and Sediment Runoff	17
4.6	Minimisation of Risk of Groundwater Contamination Following Fire	17
4.7	Use and Storage of Chemicals, Fuels and Oils	17
4.8	Compliance with Guidance for Pollution Prevention	17
<u>5</u>	<u>Method Statement 4: Construction Phase Lighting</u>	<u>18</u>
5.1	Objectives	18
5.2	Ecologically Sensitive Lighting Strategy	18
<u>6</u>	<u>Method Statement 5: Permanent Habitat Removal (PV and BESS Sites) - including Avoidance of Impacts on Protected Species</u>	<u>19</u>
6.1	Objectives	19
6.2	General Precautionary Approach to Habitat Removal Works	19
6.3	Tree and Building Inspections for Roosting Bats and Nesting Birds	20
6.4	Nesting Bird Surveys	20
6.5	Badgers	20
6.6	Otters and Water Voles	21
6.7	Non-native Invasive Species	21
6.8	Reptiles and Amphibians	22
6.9	Small Mammals - Including Polecat, Hedgehog, Brown Hare and Harvest Mouse	22
<u>7</u>	<u>Method Statement 6: Temporary Habitat Removal and Reinstatement (Cable Route Corridor and Internal Cable Routes) - including Avoidance of Impacts on Protected Species</u>	<u>23</u>
7.1	Objectives	23
7.2	Grassland	23
7.3	Hedgerows	23



7.4	Rivers and Streams	24
7.5	Ditches	24
7.6	Arable	25
<u>8</u>	<u>Method Statement 7: Precautionary Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) Methodology</u>	<u>26</u>
8.1	Objectives	26
8.2	Precautionary Approach to HDD Works	26
<u>9</u>	<u>Method Statement 8: Specific Measures for Avoidance of Impacts on Badgers</u>	<u>27</u>
9.1	Objectives	27
9.2	Pre-commencement Survey	27
9.3	Toolbox Talk	27
9.4	Licensed Sett Closure	28
9.5	Precautionary Approach to Excavations Left Overnight	28
<u>10</u>	<u>Method Statement 9: Avoidance of Impacts on Ground Nesting Birds of Open Habitats</u>	<u>29</u>
10.1	Objectives	29
10.2	Nesting Bird Checks	29
<u>11</u>	<u>Method Statement 10: Avoidance of Impacts on Overwintering Birds</u>	<u>30</u>
11.1	Objectives	30
11.2	Precautionary Approach to Works	30
<u>12</u>	<u>Method Statement 11: Avoidance of Impacts on Osprey</u>	<u>31</u>
12.1	Objectives	31
12.2	Precautionary Approach to Works	31
<u>13</u>	<u>Method Statement 12: Construction-Phase Monitoring</u>	<u>32</u>
13.1	Objectives	32
13.2	Monitoring	32
13.3	Reporting	32
<u>14</u>	<u>Method Statement 13: Mitigation for Loss of Functionally Linked Land and Disturbance to SPA Species</u>	<u>33</u>
14.1	Objectives	33
14.2	Provision of Functionally Linked Land (FLL) Mitigation Fields	33
14.3	Avoidance of Significant Disturbance	34
14.4	Monitoring	34



Issue Sheet

Report Prepared for: Green Hill Solar Farm

Examination Deadline 6

Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (OEPMS)

Revision D

Prepared by

Name: Chris Poole MSc ACIEEM

Job title: Senior Ecologist

Name: Mike Hockey BSc MCIEEM

Job title: Principal Ecologist

Approved by

Name: Harry Fox BSc MCIEEM

Job title: Associate Director

Name: Mike Hockey BSc MCIEEM

Job title: Principal Ecologist

Revision	Date	Prepared by	Approved by
Original	23/05/2025	HF	MH
A	07/11/2025	CP	MH
B	14/01/2026	CP	MH
C	26/02/2026	MH	CP
D	24/03/2026	CP	MH



1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 This Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (OEPMS) sets out the ecological protection measures for undertaking construction works associated with the proposed Green Hill Solar Farm, hereafter referred to as the Scheme, with the extent of the project being referred to as the Order Limits.
- 1.1.2 This Plan specifically deals with the protection of habitats and species during the construction phase, to include the construction of the Photovoltaic (PV) Modules (and associated access tracks and substations), Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) and installation of cabling within the Cable Route Corridor. Information relating to the management of other environmental issues such as traffic movements, compound locations, site welfare, working hours, services and noise has been provided separately within the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (OCEMP) **[EX6/GH7.2_C]** which should be read alongside this document.
- 1.1.3 As this is an Outline EPMS, a final version, which will be more detailed but substantially in accordance with the measures and principles set out within this document, will be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authorities pursuant to a Requirement in the Development Consent Order (DCO) prior to the construction of the Scheme.
- 1.1.4 This Outline EPMS has been informed by extensive baseline ecological surveys carried out to support the Scheme and seeks to address the needs for ecological protection and mitigation within the construction phase as identified by the impact assessment of Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity of the Environmental Statement **[EX6/GH6.2.9_B]**.
- 1.1.5 The purpose of this Outline EPMS is to:
- Plainly identify known risks to protected and notable species, and to preserve the integrity and/or the function of habitats within the construction phase.
 - Enable the implementation of the mitigation outlined in Chapter 9 **[EX6/GH6.2.9_B]** of the Environmental Statement.
 - Identify ecologically sensitive areas and indicate where protective buffers/fencing is required.
 - Clearly set out when and where ecological supervision will be required.
 - Identify roles and responsibilities for undertaking this protective/mitigation work during the construction phase.
- 1.1.6 This Outline EPMS follows the guidelines set out within the Biodiversity – Code of Practice for Planning and Development (British Standard, 42020:2013).
- 1.1.7 A separate Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (OLEMP) **[EX6/GH7.4_E]** has also been prepared for the Scheme, which covers the continued protection, management and enhancement of the ecological receptors, as well as habitat creation prescriptions.



1.2 Responsible Personnel & Lines of Communication

- 1.2.1 Since this document is an Outline document, roles and responsibilities are not final at this stage. As a Requirement in the DCO it will be the Applicant's responsibility to ensure that this document is complied with by the Principal Contractor.

1.3 Site Manager(s)

- 1.3.1 The Applicant will be responsible for ensuring that a Site Manager is appointed to each of the PV, BESS and Cable Installation teams and that this document has been provided to them. It will be the responsibility of the Site Manager(s) to ensure adequate communication of the applicable prescriptions set out within this Outline EPMS to construction staff and ensure sufficient liaison and forward planning with the Ecological Clerk(s) of Works (EcoCoWs).

1.4 Ecological Clerk of Works

- 1.4.1 An Ecological Clerk of Works (EcoCoW) will be appointed to each of the PV, BESS and Cable Installation teams and will comprise a suitably qualified ecologist with at least five years' relevant experience. The EcoCoW(s) will assist and advise the Applicant and the Site Manager(s) in their adherence to the requirements of the final EPMS.
- 1.4.2 Typically, a permanent on-site presence is not required. Instead, an appropriately qualified ecologist will attend at pre-arranged and timetabled work stages as set out in this document, as well as being available via an 'on-call' basis throughout the construction phase. This will rely on adequate regular and ad-hoc communication between the Site Manager(s) and the EcoCoW(s). This will enable any rearranged or changed timetables to be accommodated, as well as a prompt response for dealing with any potential habitat or protected species protection and legal compliance issues that could arise during the course of construction.
- 1.4.3 The EcoCoW will be contacted as early as possible in the unlikely event that any activities on site contravene the measures prescribed in the EPMS, for instance, should there be any unforeseen, but essential requirement to enter any of the Biodiversity Protection Zones. The EcoCoW will be consulted prior any such action being carried out unless in emergency situations.



1.5 Contact Details

Table 1 Contact Details

Personnel/ Contact	Company	Primary Contact	Address	Contact Details
Main Contractor	TBC	TBC	TBC	Email: TBC Telephone: TBC
EcoCoW	Clarkson & Woods Ltd	Chris Poole Mike Hockey	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Telephone: [REDACTED]
Wildlife Rescue Centre	Animals in Need	TBC	Wildlife Unit, Pine Tree Farm, London Road, Little Irchester, Northamptonshire NN8 2EH	Telephone: 01933 278080
Pollution Incident Contact	Environment Agency	TBC	TBC	Telephone: 0800 807060

1.6 Designated Sites

1.6.1 The following designated sites occur within, or in close proximity (within 500m) to, the Order Limits (as discussed in Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity **[EX6/GH6.2.9_B]** of the Environmental Statement) and are of principal concern within this document. Refer to Method Statements 1-3 and 11:

- Earls Barton Meadow Local Wildlife Site (LWS) – Within Cable Route Corridor.
- Bozeat Verge Protected Wildflower Verge (PWV), Easton Maudit PWV and Grendon Verge PWV – Adjacent to Green Hill F.
- Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) within 500m of Order Limits:
 - Badsaddle, Withmale Park and Bush Walk Woods Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)
 - Bozeat Meadow SSSI
 - Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SSSI
- County Wildlife Sites (CWSs) and Local Wildlife Sites (LWSs) within 500m of Order Limits:



- Nun Wood CWS
- Bozeat Cemetery LWS
- Bozeat Verge LWS
- Bozeat Wood LWS
- Broughton Green Lane LWS
- Cold Oak Copse LWS
- Earl's Barton Carr LWS
- Earl's Barton Lock Lake LWS
- Ecton Gravel Pits LWS
- Grendon Lakes LWS
- Grendon Lakes North LWS
- Grendon Quarter Pond LWS
- Hardwick Wood LWS
- Highcroft Farm Meadow LWS
- Horn Wood LWS
- Long Furlong and Old Pastures LWS
- Sywell Reservoir and Country Park LWS
- Threshires Wood LWS
- Walgrave East Meadow LWS
- Wilby Meadows Stream LWS

1.7 Habitats

1.7.1 The following habitats occur within the Order Limits and are of principal concern within this document. All Method Statements refer to the protection of these habitats.

- Woodland;
- Hedgerows and Trees;
- Grassland;
- Ditches and Watercourses (including River Nene and its tributaries); and
- Ponds.

1.8 Species and Species Groups

1.8.1 The following species occur, or may occur, within the Order Limits and are of principal concern within this document:

- Badger – See Method Statement 8;
- Bats – See Method Statements 4, 5 and 6;



- Otter - See Method Statements 3, 5 and 6;
- Water Vole - See Method Statements 3, 5 and 6;
- Polecat - See Method Statements 3, 5 and 6;
- Hedgehog - See Method Statements 3, 5 and 6;
- Harvest Mouse - See Method Statements 3, 5 and 6;
- Brown Hare - See Method Statements 3, 5 and 6;
- Reptiles - See Method Statements 3, 5 and 6;
- Amphibians - See Method Statements 3, 5 and 6;
- Breeding Birds (Including Ground Nesting Birds of Open Habitats) - See Method Statements 5, 6, 9 and 11;
- Overwintering Birds - See Method Statements 5, 6, 10 and 13;
- Invertebrates - See Method Statements 3, 5 and 6;
- Freshwater fish - See Method Statements 3, 5, 6 and 7; and
- Invasive Species - See Method Statements 3, 5 and 6.



2 Method Statement 1: Toolbox Talks

2.1 Objectives

2.1.1 Toolbox Talks are important for communicating the location and nature of the legally protected and sensitive ecological features that are present within the Sites to all site staff and visitors. Toolbox Talks also set out the responsibilities of all site staff in avoiding and minimising harm to protected species and habitats, and will outline the relevant ecological legislation.

2.2 Toolbox Talks

2.2.1 Prior to the commencement of works at any of the Sites, or cable route installation, a toolbox talk will be provided by the EcoCoW to the Site Manager(s) and contractors. The toolbox talk will include details of the EPMS and will highlight the whereabouts and sensitivity of the various ecological features present within each Site. The talk will establish the role of the EcoCoW and site personnel during works, and what to do if protected species/ecological constraints are found during works.

2.2.2 In the event a change in Site Management personnel occurs during construction or a pause in works of a period of more than 30 consecutive days occurs, a toolbox talk will need to be provided again by the appointed EcoCoW. The Site Manager(s) should inform the EcoCoW of any forthcoming management changes or breaks in the construction programme. The Site Manager(s) will be responsible for relaying information within the toolbox talks to all subsequent site staff during their initial site inductions.

2.2.3 The EcoCoW will provide the Site Manager(s) with materials and mapping which can be used to illustrate the whereabouts and nature of ecological features within site inductions.

2.2.4 The various Method Statements in this document contain further information to be included within toolbox talks specific to certain species or operations.



3 Method Statement 2: Installation of Biodiversity Protection (Buffer) Fencing

3.1 Objectives

- 3.1.1 The majority of the Scheme's valuable ecological features are contained within field boundaries. As such, it is essential that Biodiversity Protection Fencing (BPF) is installed at the onset of the construction phase to ensure damage and degradation to these features does not occur.
- 3.1.2 Installation of BPF contributes to the protection of all designated sites, important habitats and protected species listed in Sections 1.6-1.8 of this document.

3.2 Toolbox Talk

- 3.2.1 Prior to the commencement of works at any of the Sites, or cable route installation, a toolbox talk will be provided by the EcoCoW to the Site Manager and contractors. The toolbox talk will include details of the EPMS and the requirements for BPF contained below, highlighting ecological features within each Site. The talk will establish the role of the EcoCoW and site personnel during works, and what to do if protected species/ecological constraints is found during works.
- 3.2.2 In the event a change in Site Management personnel occurs during construction or a pause in works of a period of more than 30 consecutive days occurs, the toolbox talk will need to be provided again by the appointed EcoCoW. The Site Manager will be responsible for relaying information within the toolbox talk to all subsequent site staff during their initial site inductions.

3.3 PV and BESS Sites

- 3.3.1 The design of the Scheme is such that buffer zones of between 8 and 30m from each of the field boundaries have been incorporated into the layout of the PV modules, access tracks, inverters, substations and battery energy storage infrastructure. The buffer widths correspond to the ecological value of each boundary and/or its sensitivity to potential impacts. Therefore, construction-phase biodiversity protection fencing should be installed in line with these buffer extents.
- 3.3.2 Indicative locations and widths of buffer zones within the Green Hill PV and BESS Sites are illustrated in Appendix 9.12: Schedule of Protective Ecological Buffers of Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement [APP-095]. These figures served to inform the Scheme's design at an early stage of the project, however these buffer zones are not comprehensive, and do not account for specific works which will necessarily entail incursion into the buffer zones at discrete locations (for example, to create field accesses through hedgerows). The buffer zones will be finalised following detailed design post-consent and detailed in the final Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy.
- 3.3.3 BPF will be installed as a priority during the mobilisation and preparation tasks in the construction phase. This will either comprise temporary 'Heras'-style fencing or, in the case of the 'external' or perimeter scheme boundaries, the operational security fence would serve the dual purposes of construction-phase protection and ongoing security. Consequently, practically all field boundaries will require



the installation of construction phase BPF. Security fencing will comprise 2m tall deer-proof fencing installed on wooden posts.

- 3.3.4 BPF will also be used to protect all individual in-field mature trees as set out in Appendix 19.21, Preliminary Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Outline Arboricultural Method Statement [EX6/GH6.3.19.2_A]. Tree protection fencing will be installed in accordance with British Standard 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction.
- 3.3.5 The location of all BPF will be agreed and confirmed between the EcoCoW and Site Manager(s) prior to commencement of installation to ensure clarity on all buffer zone widths, access and fencing specification requirements. This will minimise the chance of any ad-hoc call-outs of the EcoCoW being required during the installation.
- 3.3.6 All installed BPF will be inspected by an EcoCoW during and/or on completion of installation to ensure it complies with the correct specification and is installed in the correct locations.
- 3.3.7 The fencing will be subject to regular checks by the EcoCoW as per Method Statement 11; however, it will be the responsibility of the Site Manager(s) to ensure the fence is appropriately maintained throughout the construction phase.
- 3.3.8 During construction, no site personnel or machinery shall enter the Buffer Zones by crossing the BPF and no equipment will be stored therein. The only exceptions will be where access for essential/unavoidable operations have been agreed in advance with the EcoCoW or Arboricultural Clerk of Works (ACoW) – Section 3.5 below refers.
- 3.3.9 For reference, the BPF will be installed within the Green Hill PV and BESS Sites according to the following criteria which were used to determine buffer zone widths:
- 8m minimum from ditches, and any trees with 'low' suitability for roosting bats.
 - 9m from ditches where within Milton Keynes City Council and West Northamptonshire area.
 - 10m minimum from ditches with signs of otter or water vole, or trees with 'moderate' suitability for roosting bats.
 - 15m minimum from all hedgerows, minor watercourses (small streams), 'outlying' badger setts and from any tree with 'high' suitability for roosting bats.
 - 20m minimum from woodland, ponds and moderate watercourses (depending on ecological value).
 - 30m minimum from ancient woodland, major watercourses (e.g. rivers) and 'main', subsidiary' or 'annexe' badger setts.
 - Other, bespoke buffers around bat roosts and the nesting sites of Schedule 1 birds will be implemented on a case-by-case basis, taking into account the specific species' requirements.



3.4 Cable Installation

- 3.4.1 The cable installation work involves the open cutting of a trench to receive cable ducts and jointing bays through which cables are pulled into place. In order to facilitate this, a temporary haul route will be implemented alongside the cable route, together with intermittent site compounds and set-down areas and the opening of temporary accesses from nearby roadways. Where certain physical obstacles are present, or features of high ecological, heritage or landscape importance are present, Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) will be utilised to avoid damage. A schedule of crossings has been produced [EX6/GH7.18_B] to show which features will be crossed through open cut techniques or HDD. Where open cut trenching is involved, gaps through boundaries/habitats will measure up to 10m wide in order to accommodate a haul route and trench.
- 3.4.2 In terms of Biodiversity Protection Fencing, it is necessary to minimise habitat impacts as far as possible, so that only the minimum length of habitat is removed at boundary crossings due to receive open cut trenches. To this end, fencing will be installed comprising two short lengths of Heras-style fencing (each approximately 2-4 panels, depending on the presence/width of boundary habitats as directed by the EcoCoW) installed at right angles on either side of the boundary feature to stop any encroachment beyond the 10m gap width (see Figure 1 below).



Figure 1. Indicative Layout of Protection Fencing at Boundary Habitat Crossings

- 3.4.3 Wherever practicable, works within the ecological buffer zones identified in paragraph 3.3.9 will also be avoided on the Cable Route Corridor. Where environmental or engineering constraints necessitate works within these buffers, such works must be agreed in advance with, and undertaken under the supervision of, the Ecological Clerk of Works (EcoCoW) and/or the Arboricultural Clerk of Works (ACoW) as appropriate. Given the temporary nature of the cable trenching work, it may not always be necessary to install BPF around particular



features on the Cable Route Corridor, however this may be appropriate where cable route working areas are in very close proximity to the protective buffers, or where particularly sensitive features are present (such as badger setts, or ancient woodland). In other cases, the use of hazard tape, mesh barrier fencing or similar to demarcate the feature may be appropriate.

- 3.4.4 With regards to ancient woodland parcels adjacent to the Cable Route Corridor, a minimum 15m protective buffer will be applied and implemented for all works in the Cable Route Corridor, as per the **Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Outline Arboricultural Method Statement [EX6/GH6.3.19.2_A]**. These 15m ancient woodland buffers will be demarcated through the installation of BPF for the full duration of works within the field/fields adjacent to the ancient woodland. No infrastructure works will take place within this 15m buffer (no installation of built infrastructure, including cabling), no site personnel or plant (machinery) shall enter the buffer zones, and no equipment will be stored therein.
- 3.4.5 The location of all BPF on the cable route will be agreed and confirmed between the EcoCoW and Site Manager(s) prior to commencement of installation to ensure clarity on all fencing specification requirements.
- 3.4.6 All installed BPF will be inspected by an EcoCoW during and/or on completion of installation to ensure it complies with the correct specification and is installed in the correct locations. It will be the responsibility of the Site Manager(s) to ensure the fence is appropriately maintained throughout the construction phase.

3.5 Non-Standard Works within Biodiversity Protection Areas

Ancient Woodland 15m Buffer

- 3.5.1 In order to ensure the protection of the ancient woodlands and safeguard them from damage during construction, a core 15m buffer will be applied to ancient woodlands. No infrastructure works will take place within this 15m buffer (no installation of built infrastructure, including cabling), no site personnel or plant (machinery) shall enter the buffer and no equipment will be stored therein. The only works which may take place within the 15m buffer zone are limited to unavoidable, non-intrusive works, such as upgrades to two existing tracks through the use of a 'no-dig' solution and provision of permissive under Work No. 10. Manual works associated with landscaping and biodiversity mitigation and enhancement measures including seeding are also permitted under Work No. 6 and 9. Such works will be undertaken under supervision of the project ACoW and EcoCoW.

Ancient Woodland 15 to 30m Buffer

- 3.5.2 Limited and unavoidable works may be required within the 15 to 30m buffer zone from ancient woodland on the Green Hill PV Sites. This includes works that cannot be practicably carried out elsewhere (e.g. laying of cables associated with Work No.1d (specifically between fields FF32 and FF33), grassland seeding under Work No. 6, habitat creation as part of Work No. 9, and permissive paths connecting to existing public rights of way within the buffer areas (Work No. 10)). Where environmental or engineering constraints necessitate works within these buffers, such works must be agreed in advance with, and undertaken under the supervision of, the Ecological Clerk of Works (EcoCoW) and/or the Arboricultural Clerk of Works (ACoW) as appropriate.



Detailed Design and Conflict Resolution Procedure

- 3.5.3 Following the completion of the detailed design of the Scheme post-consent, the approach to works within ecological buffer zones will be agreed with the relevant local planning authority. The detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (as secured by Requirement 8: Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy of the **draft Development Consent Order (Revision E) [EX6/GH3.1_E]**) will identify the specific areas where works are required within the protective ecological buffers, as well as the construction methodologies to be used and any relevant ecological mitigation measures deemed to be required.
- 3.5.4 In the event that the EcoCoW/ACoW withholds authorisation for "unavoidable" works within the protective ecological or arboricultural buffer zones, the following tiered procedure will be implemented:
- **Stage 1: Engineering Re-evaluation:** The Principal Contractor's engineering team must formally demonstrate that all alternative options (e.g., different machinery, directional drilling, or adjusted site layouts) have been exhausted.
 - **Stage 2: Mitigation/ Compensation:** If the constraint is deemed truly immovable, the contractor must propose additional, site-specific mitigation measures that go beyond the standard OEPMS requirements to reduce the impact to a level acceptable to the EcoCoW.
 - **Stage 3: Decision Escalation:** If a stalemate remains, the matter is escalated to the **Project Environmental Manager** and the **Lead Design Engineer**. They will review the conflict against the DCO (Development Consent Order) requirements and National Policy Statements.



4 Method Statement 3: Pollution Prevention Measures

4.1 Objectives

- 4.1.1 The majority of the Scheme's valuable ecological features are contained within field boundaries, particularly hedgerows, ditches and watercourses. Other habitats are also located within the Sites, including ponds, woodland, unmanaged grassland and scrub. Additionally, one Local Wildlife Site (Earls Barton Meadow LWS) is located within the Cable Route Corridor, comprising sensitive grassland habitats. Other Designated Sites in proximity to the Scheme are listed in Section 1.6. Species groups at particular risk from pollution events are freshwater fish, terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, amphibians, small mammals, otters and water voles.
- 4.1.2 Potential pollution events include the release of chemicals (including oils, fuels and cleaning agents), sediments (including mud, soil and silt) and dust (especially during dry weather). These pollutants can be released either in their own right or through excessive surface water runoff (e.g. during periods of prolonged rain, flooding or disruption of water courses/pipework).
- 4.1.3 The installation of BPF as set out in Method Statement 2 (MS2) is considered to significantly reduce the likelihood of pollution events occurring through implementing a suitably wide offset between construction activities and sensitive habitats. However, the following additional pollution prevention measures will be adopted to aid further risk reduction.
- 4.1.4 All measures apply to the PV, BESS and cable installation elements of the Scheme.
- 4.1.5 This Method Statement should be read in conjunction with the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (OCEMP) [EX6/GH7.2_C] produced for the Scheme which details general (i.e. not ecology-specific) pollution protection measures.

4.2 Toolbox Talk

- 4.2.1 Prior to the commencement of works at any of the PV/BESS Sites, or cable route installation, a toolbox talk will be provided by the EcoCoW to the Site Manager and contractors. The toolbox talk will include details of the EPMS, the sensitivity of ecological features to impacts from pollution, and the requirements for prevention measures contained below. Highly sensitive ecological habitats will be discussed and the need for any proportionate risk reduction measures. The talk will establish the role of the EcoCoW and site personnel during works, and what to do if protected species/ecological constraints are found during works.
- 4.2.2 In the event a change in Site Management personnel occurs during construction or a pause in works of a period of more than 30 consecutive days occurs, the toolbox talk will need to be provided again by the appointed EcoCoW. The Site Manager will be responsible for relaying information within the toolbox talk to all subsequent site staff during their initial site inductions.

4.3 Working During Prolonged Wet Weather

- 4.3.1 Work during periods of prolonged wet weather shall be avoided wherever possible to avoid churning of soils and the release of mud and sediments and/or



excessive surface water runoff. The EcoCoW should be consulted should heavy rain on at least three consecutive days be forecast or occur in order to determine whether works should pause or be relocated to less sensitive areas, depending on construction progress and the location/proximity of particular sensitive ecological features.

- 4.3.2 Table 3.4: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage of the OCEMP [EX6/GH7.2_C] contains several measures which specifically deal with the mitigation of potential contamination of watercourses by surface runoff.

4.4 Working During Prolonged Dry Weather

- 4.4.1 Working during extended dry periods risks dust deposition onto retained sensitive ecological features, including those beyond the Order Limits. As such, the Site Manager(s) should consider the use of sprayed water to dampen earthworks and/or access routes as required in order to control this risk. The EcoCoW should also be consulted in order to advise on any particular ecological features which should be avoided entirely during such times, for example ponds, Local Wildlife Sites and major watercourses in proximity to the working areas.

4.5 Minimisation of Water and Sediment Runoff

- 4.5.1 Table 3.4: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage of the OCEMP [EX6/GH7.2_C] contains several measures which specifically deal with the mitigation of potential water runoff and the prevention of potential discharge of contaminants into local watercourses.

4.6 Minimisation of Risk of Groundwater Contamination Following Fire

- 4.6.1 Section 3.9: Firewater Consideration of ES Appendix 10.11 – Annex J – Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy - BESS [REP5-031] contains several measures adopted into the design of the BESS and its fire suppression system which specifically deal with the automatic attenuation, containment, testing and subsequent disposal of fire suppressant water. The issue of diffuse pollution resulting from fire is also dealt with in Section 10.9 and 10.10 of ES Chapter 10 - Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [EX6/GH6.2.10_C].

4.7 Use and Storage of Chemicals, Fuels and Oils

- 4.7.1 Table 3.4: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage of the OCEMP [EX6/GH7.2_C] contains several measures which specifically deal with the avoidance of potential water runoff. These measures specifically deal with the minimisation of risk of chemical and contaminant release through their safe usage and storage, and use of spill kits etc. Additionally, as a rule, all refuelling and washing of plant/vehicles, and storage of all potential contaminants should occur at least 20m from all Biodiversity Protection Fencing.

4.8 Compliance with Guidance for Pollution Prevention

- 4.8.1 The Site Manager(s) will ensure construction complies with Pollution Prevention for Businesses as prepared by Defra and the Environment Agency.



5 Method Statement 4: Construction Phase Lighting

5.1 Objectives

5.1.1 Artificial lighting has the potential to adversely impact wildlife, including freshwater fish, aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates, small mammals and bats, and is not restricted to nocturnal species.

5.2 Ecologically Sensitive Lighting Strategy

5.2.1 Artificial lighting at night may potentially be utilised during construction across the Scheme where night-time working is unavoidable. It is understood that night-time working will not be employed apart from specific activities including delivery of abnormal loads and Horizontal Directional Drilling.

5.2.2 Artificial working-area lighting in these exceptional operations should be minimised as far as possible between sunset and sunrise between the months of March and October inclusive during the construction phase of all elements of the Scheme. This aims to limit the potential for adverse impacts on the above species groups (especially bats) during the times of the year when they are most active and therefore sensitive.

5.2.3 Between the months of November and February inclusive, where lighting is considered essential, construction temporary site lighting in the form of mobile lighting towers will be positioned to ensure that light is directed onto the area of works only with as minimal light spillage onto the hedgerows/woodland as possible. The use of LED lighting and cowls, hoods and other similar screens will be adopted. Any working-area lighting requirements will be discussed and reviewed with the EcoCoW.

5.2.4 Any unavoidable artificial lighting during the hours of darkness required within the period March to October inclusive will only be permitted following consultation with the EcoCoW in order to determine the severity of potential impacts and appropriate mitigation steps, including agreed hours of operation and numbers/specification of luminaires.

5.2.5 Security lighting may be installed on temporary site compounds and permanent structures following consultation with the EcoCoW to establish appropriate locations. Security lighting will be limited to the minimum number of luminaires required which will be defined by the EcoCoW and based on the sensitivity of the habitats potentially affected and baseline lux levels. Security luminaires will be motion-sensitive and set on a short (<2 minute) timer and oriented to reduce upward light spill as far as possible (i.e. horizontally oriented) in order to reduce the potential impact on light sensitive species such as bats.



6 Method Statement 5: Permanent Habitat Removal (PV and BESS Sites) - including Avoidance of Impacts on Protected Species

6.1 Objectives

- 6.1.1 Habitat removal will be necessary in a limited number of locations, for example to permit construction/operational access and the installation of the Cable Route.
- 6.1.2 Many habitats within the Order Limits support protected species or are important in their own right. Consequently, their removal - whether temporary or permanent - will require precautionary measures to mitigate the impacts of their loss and/or the potential for unlawful or detrimental impacts on the species they support.
- 6.1.3 Habitats which are of particular value include: hedgerows, ditches (dry or wet), woodland, individual trees, scrub, grassland, streams, rivers and ponds.

6.2 General Precautionary Approach to Habitat Removal Works

- 6.2.1 The Site Manager(s) shall be responsible for liaising with the EcoCoW in order to agree locations and timings of advance inspections and clearance attendance, including all species-specific measures set out below, as necessary.
- 6.2.2 Habitat clearance, for example (but not limited to) the small sections of hedgerow required for new construction accesses and cable installation within the Cable Route Corridor, will be undertaken during the months of March to October inclusive. This will avoid the principal hibernation season for species groups such as reptiles and amphibians and so avoid unlawful harm to these species. Should this not be possible, further precautions will be necessary, such as the possibility of taking any hibernating animals discovered during clearance into care over the winter, or translocation to suitable nearby receptor habitat or specially created hibernacula.
- 6.2.3 Wherever the above habitats listed in bold are to be affected, an EcoCoW should be present and have undertaken an inspection in advance to ensure legal compliance and avoid undue harm to species potentially present.
- 6.2.4 Habitat clearance will be conducted under an EcoCoW-led ecological watching brief in order to ensure species such as nesting birds, reptiles, amphibians and small mammals are not harmed. Depending upon the nature and density of the vegetation, this inspection may include a combination of a fingertip inspection of vegetation and early morning surveillance of habitat for evidence of bird nesting behaviour. Further species-specific information is given in the sections below. The vegetation may also need to be cleared a small amount at a time to allow the EcoCoW to search the area thoroughly.
- 6.2.5 Habitat removal at wet ditches should observe good practice guidance on the use of temporary dams and sediment traps. The input of a hydrological engineer is advisable in order to minimise drainage disruption and localised flooding. All permanently breached ditches should remain interconnected via the use of culverts or clear-span crossings.



6.3 Tree and Building Inspections for Roosting Bats and Nesting Birds

- 6.3.1 No works involving the removal of buildings are anticipated, however some unavoidable losses of trees will occur. In the event that construction works require trees or buildings to be impacted, altered or removed, these should be thoroughly inspected by a suitably qualified (and licensed, in the case of bats) ecologist in advance. This includes where new or existing access tracks are to be used by heavy plant during construction within approximately 10m of retained buildings, since significant noise and vibration disturbance could be caused to strictly protected species such as bats and nesting barn owls.
- 6.3.2 Depending on the nature of proposals and the potential for roosting bats to be present, further surveys may be recommended. In the event that a bat roost is discovered, a licence from Natural England may be required in order to proceed lawfully and ensure compensation for roost losses is carried out.
- 6.3.3 In the event that active bird nests are recorded, works may need to temporarily cease or be delayed until nesting is completed. Losses of nesting opportunities should be compensated as advised by the EcoCoW.

6.4 Nesting Bird Surveys

- 6.4.1 Clearance of hedgerow, ditch, scrub, trees, woodland and tussocky grassland is not to be undertaken between the months of March and August inclusive due to the risk of unlawful impacts on nesting birds. However, where emergency work to suitable habitat is absolutely unavoidable, a nesting bird check will be required. This will consist of one or more visual inspections of the habitat to be affected by an appropriate number of suitably experienced ecologists to look for signs of nesting behaviour or nests themselves. Such inspections will likely need to be carried out in the early morning prior to construction activities commencing on site for the day.
- 6.4.2 Where any active bird nests are found, a buffer zone of at least 10m (actual distance dependent upon species and nest location, as advised by the EcoCoW) will be created around the nest, the buffer maintained and not disturbed until the nest is no longer in use. Depending upon the location, protective fencing may be appropriate. The ecologist will be able to advise on the anticipated date of fledging based upon the status of the nest and the species involved. Regular inspections of the nest site by an EcoCoW around the anticipated date of fledging will be necessary to ensure works can continue once the birds have fledged.
- 6.4.3 This advice applies to species nesting in woody vegetation which includes the majority of British birds. However, specific advice on precautions for ground nesting birds which may be present within arable or pasture habitats where the majority of the PV arrays, BESS and Cable Corridor are situated is contained in Method Statement 9, and precautions for large flocks of overwintering birds in Method Statement 10.

6.5 Badgers

- 6.5.1 See Method Statement 8 for specific measures relating to Badgers.



6.6 Otters and Water Voles

- 6.6.1 Particular attention will be paid to any habitat removal works affecting or within 30m of a watercourse for the potential presence of otters and water voles.
- 6.6.2 All applicable habitat removal works will be preceded by an inspection of habitat at least 50m upstream and 50m downstream of the clearance extent to look for signs of these species and their sheltering sites. The inspection will be carried out one month in advance of works commencing by a suitably qualified ecologist.
- 6.6.3 In the event that burrows, holts or likely sheltering sites are found, the EcoCoW will discuss this with the Site Manager(s) and efforts to alter the location of the clearance to avoid direct impacts will be made in the first instance.
- 6.6.4 Should impacts upon holts, burrows or sheltering sites be unavoidable, it will be necessary to delay commencement until a licence from Natural England is obtained. Licences will be contingent on seasonal timing restrictions, sensitive working methods and habitat compensation.
- 6.6.5 Culverted or overbridged ditches and watercourses should be designed to permit the continued passage of water voles and otters. The advice of the EcoCoW should be sought in this instance.

6.7 Non-native Invasive Species

- 6.7.1 No non-native invasive species have been recorded within the Order Limits to date during baseline ecological surveys. However, it is possible that plant species such as Japanese knotweed and Himalayan balsam may occur locally, especially within ditches and watercourses.
- 6.7.2 The EcoCoW will actively look for non-native invasive species during all supervision and survey work and report their presence to the Site Manager(s) as appropriate.
- 6.7.3 The potential presence of non-native invasive species will form part of the Ecological Toolbox Talk and will help site staff to identify some of these species so that early reporting and any remediation can take place.
- 6.7.4 As it is an offence to release into the wild or cause to grow any non-native invasive species, works will be altered to avoid them in the first instance, if discovered. If this is not possible, remediation and eradication work carried out by a specialist company/consultant would be required as all parts of such plants are considered contaminated waste under the Environmental Protection Act 1990. Until this occurs, the area will be clearly marked out and delineated with protective fencing, so as to not contribute to its spread locally.
- 6.7.5 Contractors will be briefed on the presence and identification of non-native species, including Himalayan Balsam/Japanese Knotweed, during the toolbox talk (see Method Statement 1).
- 6.7.6 The Environment Agency has produced a Code of Practice for the Management, Destruction and Disposal of Japanese Knotweed (May 2001), which gives guidance to developers.



6.8 Reptiles and Amphibians

- 6.8.1 Habitat clearance areas will also be thoroughly inspected by hand before and during works for widespread reptile and amphibians species such as toads and slow worms in order to remove any animals as the clearance works progress. Any amphibians, reptiles or other animals will be hand released in suitable nearby retained habitat as determined by the EcoCoW.
- 6.8.2 The locations to be used for the creation of reptile hibernacula (see Section 4.10 of the OLEMP [EX6/GH7.4_E]) will be chosen for their proximity to and connectivity with nearby habitat suitable for reptiles, including tussocky grassland (including that which is proposed within the maintenance scheme – see the OLEMP), scrub and hedgerows.
- 6.8.3 In order to avoid inadvertent mortality of reptiles during this process, the creation works will not take place within the winter months (November to February inclusive) or during temperatures below 8°C and all areas of the habitat mentioned above will be hand searched and removed with hand tools only once reasonable likelihood or absence of reptiles has been established. All such habitat creation work should be carried out by individuals with experience of identifying reptiles and their habitat.

6.9 Small Mammals - Including Polecat, Hedgehog, Brown Hare and Harvest Mouse

- 6.9.1 As it is an offence to cause harm to wild mammals, the EcoCoW will carry out a fingertip search of all habitat to be removed before and during the clearance operation as appropriate. Any burrows discovered will either be avoided if at all possible through alteration of the location of works, or if unavoidable, be destructively searched by hand and in a methodical manner in order to ensure no animals are trapped underground or harmed. Any animals discovered during works will be relocated by hand, where collection is possible, to a suitable undisturbed location to be determined by the EcoCoW. Any injured animals should be taken to the nearest wildlife rescue service as given at the front of this document.



- ## 7 Method Statement 6: Temporary Habitat Removal and Reinstatement (Cable Route Corridor and Internal Cable Routes) - including Avoidance of Impacts on Protected Species
- ### 7.1 Objectives
- 7.1.1 The installation of export cables within the Sites and the Cable Route Corridor will involve the temporary removal and reinstatement of habitats such as grassland, hedgerows and ditches. These habitats are of value in themselves, but also have the potential to support protected species such as nesting birds, reptiles, amphibians and water voles.
- 7.1.2 All precautions contained within Method Statement 5 should be followed in carrying out habitat removal works in relation to the internal cable routes and the Cable Route Corridor. Further steps set out below will be followed to ensure adequate habitat reinstatement. It is anticipated that the working width during cable route construction will measure approximately 30m in width, comprising a haul route and the cable trench itself, with additional soil storage, intermittent jointing bays and set down areas/compounds. Habitat removal is anticipated to occur in all these locations, however temporary trackway matting or other temporary removable surfaces would aid the minimisation of habitat losses.
- 7.1.3 All habitat reinstatement works will be designed with input and site-specific knowledge from the EcoCoW and monitored for success by them thereafter.
- ### 7.2 Grassland
- 7.2.1 Grassland occupies a small proportion of the habitats within the Sites and Cable Route Corridor. Wherever possible, turf should be stripped and set aside during initial trench cutting for eventual replacement, where cable installation works are anticipated to be of a short enough duration for the turf to be successfully replaced.
- 7.2.2 Where this is not a viable option, backfilled trenches and disturbed ground will be prepared (topsoil application, rolled and harrowed as necessary) and grassland will be re-seeded over it. An appropriate seed mix will be used which will be in keeping with, or of greater diversity than, the habitat type and species assemblage as recorded during baseline habitat surveys.
- 7.2.3 All such habitat creation work should be carried out by suitably qualified or experienced landscaping contractors or ecologists.
- ### 7.3 Hedgerows
- 7.3.1 Hedgerow sections to be cleared should be translocated wherever possible. This will involve the removal of hedgerow plants to include their rootballs, to be set aside until they can be replanted in the original location. Translocation is likely only to be successful when undertaken during the autumn and winter months when plants are most dormant, and when duration of trenching at a particular location is short enough to allow plants to survive being removed for this period. The decision of whether conditions allow for the translocation of hedgerow will be made by the EcoCoW.
- 7.3.2 Where translocation is not possible, hedgerows will be replanted using whips of the same species as was removed, with the addition of a proportion of other



locally appropriate species to increase diversity. Planting should be undertaken in the first planting season (autumn or winter) following removal to ensure a high degree of success and be planted with tree guards to protect against herbivore browsing.

- 7.3.3 All such habitat creation work should be carried out by suitably qualified or experienced landscaping contractors or ecologists.

7.4 Rivers and Streams

- 7.4.1 Where open-cut trenching is used at cable route watercourse crossing points, standard best practice measures will be adopted, including the avoidance of works within key spawning seasons for salmonids and other spawning fish as well as migration periods for European eel (avoiding works between October – June 15th inclusive) where this is possible. Habitat suitability assessments may also be completed to establish whether suitable habitat for spawning/migrating fish is present at each watercourse crossing point, and this data would be used to inform whether the above avoidance periods are likely to be required for each affected watercourse.

- 7.4.2 Additional measures may include the capture and translocation of fish from the working area to suitable habitats upstream or downstream, prior to the dewatering of the channel, as well as EcoCoW supervision of the work. Any lighting required at watercourse crossing points will also be installed to avoid light spill into the watercourse itself wherever possible, to avoid potential impacts to migrating and spawning fish which may be present.

- 7.4.3 The cable installation methodology to be used at each of the relevant watercourse crossing points, as well as any required mitigation measures relating to spawning/migrating fish and other protected or notable species, would be discussed and agreed with the Environment Agency/Local Planning Authority post-consent, prior to work commencing.

- 7.4.4 Post-construction monitoring (as detailed in Method Statement 11) will also be conducted to ensure that the affected aquatic and terrestrial habitats are suitably reinstated and that any remedial measures required are identified.

7.5 Ditches

- 7.5.1 Minor agricultural field drains and ditches will be subject to open cut trenching unless otherwise identified as being of particular ecological importance as to require Horizontal Directional Drilling techniques. The majority of these ditches are only seasonally wet and are of relatively lower ecological value. Wherever possible, and where the duration of works at a particular location allows, any aquatic or marginal plants should be collected for later replanting to minimise the recovery of the habitat after completion of works.

- 7.5.2 All ditch impacting works should follow good practice guidance on the use of sediment/silt traps and temporary dams to minimise the risk of drainage disruption, sediment release and local flooding.

- 7.5.3 All such habitat creation work should be carried out by suitably qualified or experienced landscaping contractors or ecologists.



7.6 Arable

- 7.6.1 Arable fields occupy the vast majority of land within the Sites and Cable Route Corridor and are of little ecological value, therefore no specific reinstatement protocol is required.



8 Method Statement 7: Precautionary Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) Methodology

8.1 Objectives

8.1.1 The use of trenchless techniques such as Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) during cable installation will avoid direct habitat damage and degradation. However, there still remains the risk of damage through improper siting of entry/exit pits and the potential for excessive vibration to cause disturbance to species such as freshwater fish or release of sediments which could harm watercourses and aquatic invertebrates among other species.

8.2 Precautionary Approach to HDD Works

8.2.1 The Site Manager will be responsible for liaising with the EcoCoW to agree timings and locations of HDD operations to ensure attendance at each event.

8.2.2 The EcoCoW will advise on the most appropriate locations for entry and exit pits as well as associated access and set down areas in order to avoid impacts on retained habitats of ecological value such as arable field margins, hedgerows and diverse grassland. The EcoCoW may undertake hand searches of habitat to be removed as necessary.

8.2.3 Where HDD is used under the River Nene and significant tributaries (the Crossing Schedule [EX6/GH7.18_B] refers), the EcoCoW will discuss the risk of causing excessive vibration and the release of sediments with the operatives and engineers overseeing HDD works to ensure an adequate depth is used. A depth of no less than 5m is to be used in this location. Here, the EcoCoW will monitor the water column for sediment release during all stages of HDD work. Drilling may need to temporarily cease until depths and working methods can be adequately readjusted. Advice from the Environment Agency or a specialist hydrological engineer may be required in order to help contain sediments during works, including the use of silt traps.

8.2.4 Entry and exit pits should be covered overnight to avoid trapping species such as badgers and other small mammals (see Method Statement 8).



9 Method Statement 8: Specific Measures for Avoidance of Impacts on Badgers

9.1 Objectives

9.1.1 Multiple badger setts have been identified within and adjacent to the Order Limits. Badgers are also likely to use the land within the Order Limits for foraging and dispersal in various locations. Badgers are legally protected from disturbance and harm, as well as interference with their setts. Measures given here will ensure that development works proceed lawfully.

9.2 Pre-commencement Survey

9.2.1 As badgers can excavate new setts in a relatively short time, an update survey for badger setts of land within the Order Limits prior to construction commencing will be necessary. This will specifically focus on all habitats potentially suitable for sett excavation by badgers on within the Order Limits, in particular hedgerows, field margins, scrub, tussocky grassland and woodland or groups of trees. The survey will pay close attention to locations of these habitats which are the subject of habitat loss or land use change under the works plans. The locations of new hedgerow gaps for construction access or cable trenching will be particularly key, but also locations where landscaping, access tracks, fencing, and other infrastructure will be installed within a 30m radius of suitable habitats.

9.2.2 The survey will be carried out by an appropriately experienced ecologist (EcoCoW) who is an associate or full member of CIEEM with prior experience of surveying for badgers.

9.2.3 The survey will be carried out no more than 1 month prior to the commencement of construction activities within a particular Site or section of Cable Route Corridor. The Site Manager(s) will liaise with the EcoCoW to ensure that this survey is completed in good time ahead of works in a particular location.

9.2.4 The survey will look for evidence of badger activity within the Site, including setts, paths, hairs, footprints or faeces and record the location of and type of all setts at the Site, as well as their activity status. Where necessary, should any new setts be identified the BPF as detailed in Method Statement 2 will be adapted to incorporate a revised buffer zone around the identified entrances. Typically, 'outlying' setts require a minimum 15m buffer, while 'subsidiary', 'annexe' and 'main' setts require a minimum 30m buffer.

9.2.5 The results of the survey/s will be communicated to the Site Manager(s) with any necessary recommendations for revised buffers or precautionary working methods and supervision.

9.3 Toolbox Talk

9.3.1 Prior to the commencement of works at any of the PV/BESS Sites, or cable route installation, a toolbox talk will be provided by the EcoCoW to the Site Manager and contractors. The toolbox talk will include details on the potential for encountering badger setts and other mammal burrows during works to suitable habitats, along with information on their legal obligations and what to do if a sett or burrow is discovered. The talk will establish the role of the EcoCoW and site personnel during works.



9.3.2 In the event a change in Site Management personnel occurs during construction or a pause in works of a period of more than 30 consecutive days occurs, the toolbox talk will need to be provided again by the appointed EcoCoW. The Site Manager will be responsible for relaying information within the toolbox talk to all subsequent site staff during their initial site inductions.

9.4 Licensed Setts Closure

9.4.1 Any newly-discovered sett should ideally be avoided by construction works if at all possible, under the advice of the EcoCoW.

9.4.2 In the event that an active sett is to be unavoidably impacted by construction activities, a licence from Natural England would likely be necessary to temporarily or permanently close the sett. Works to badger setts can only be undertaken between July and November inclusive due to the possibility of dependent young being underground at other times of year. Outside of this licence period no works affecting the sett would be permitted and a buffer zone free of potentially disturbing activities (i.e. noise, damage or vibration), as informed by the EcoCoW, would be required. Work in other parts of the Site, however, can continue as advised by the EcoCoW. It can take up to six weeks for a licence application to be determined by Natural England, depending on the licence type being applied for.

9.5 Precautionary Approach to Excavations Left Overnight

9.5.1 It is likely that badgers (and other mammals) will move around within the Order Limits during the construction phase considering the open habitats present. Therefore, any pits or trenches dug during the construction phase (particularly during the cable installation works and use of HDD entry/exit pits) must have a means of escape placed in them overnight for trapped badgers (and other animals) to use, or be covered overnight if possible. Examples include rough sawn planks or earth ramps. Similarly, all open ducting and pipework left within any pits or trenches must be temporarily capped off overnight. The use of these measures will be periodically checked by the EcoCoW and will be the responsibility of the Site Manager(s) to implement otherwise unlawful harm to badgers and wild mammals may result.



10 Method Statement 9: Avoidance of Impacts on Ground Nesting Birds of Open Habitats

10.1 Objectives

10.1.1 Skylark, yellow wagtail, grey partridge and quail are all species of ground nesting birds that have been recorded within the Order Limits and which occupy open habitats such as arable and pasture grassland. As development operations will occupy large areas of these habitats, precautions will be necessary to avoid unlawful impacts on the birds and their nests.

10.2 Nesting Bird Checks

10.2.1 Between the months of March and August inclusive, when undertaking construction works within arable or pasture fields, nesting bird checks should be carried out to ensure no nests are at risk of harm and that development works do not commit unlawful acts. As the species concerned are dependent on long, unbroken sightlines of between 75m and 200m for predator avoidance, they are unlikely to be present within close proximity to existing development activities. Therefore, nesting bird checks are most important when development activities progress into previously undeveloped fields during the nesting season. In order to minimise disruption to development activities, close communication on the development programme between the Site Manager(s) and the EcoCoW is essential. Habitat degradation, such as mowing vegetation to a short sward height, and dissuasion techniques, such as kite deterrents, may be employed in advance of the nesting season (and maintained up until the onset of development activities) in order to reduce the requirement for nesting bird checks.

10.2.2 In the event a nest is discovered, its location shall be mapped and shared with the Site Manager(s) and the location will be avoided, and a buffer radius of at least 50m observed, to be advised by the EcoCoW (depending on species). The nest location will be revisited around the time of predicted fledging (derived from the status of the nest upon discovery) to confirm fledging and inactivity, enabling development activities to resume.



11 Method Statement 10: Avoidance of Impacts on Overwintering Birds

11.1 Objectives

11.1.1 Flocks of overwintering birds such as fieldfare, redwing, finches, golden plover, lapwing and other wildfowl have been recorded within the Order Limits during the winter months. Due to the numbers of birds within such flocks, as well as the functional linkage between certain areas of the Scheme and the nearby Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits Special Protection Area for which golden plover and lapwing are a principal concern, unnecessary disturbance to them and displacement can be energetically costly and have an adverse impact on the local population. Precautions are given below to minimise this risk.

11.2 Precautionary Approach to Works

- 11.2.1 During the winter months of November to February inclusive, any significant commencement or re-commencement of development works within fields will be immediately preceded (in the morning) by an inspection for the presence of flocks of overwintering birds. The inspections should be carried out by the EcoCoW.
- 11.2.2 Where notable flocks are identified within the field, works will be delayed until the flocks have dispersed of their own accord. Monitoring of the fields will be conducted by the EcoCoW to confirm that any flocks present have moved on, and that construction works can commence.
- 11.2.3 The aim will be to ensure that flocks of overwintering birds are not subject to displacement and disturbance stresses at this vulnerable time of year. As these flocks move about within the landscape on a daily basis, it should be possible to postpone mobilisation into undeveloped fields which are occupied by them by approximately one day to avoid impacts.
- 11.2.4 The Site Manager(s) will be responsible for liaising with the EcoCoW in advance of mobilisation into previously undeveloped fields during the winter months in order to avoid disturbance of overwintering flocks of birds.



12 Method Statement 11: Avoidance of Impacts on Osprey

12.1 Objectives

- 12.1.1 An osprey *Pandion haliaetus* nesting platform is located in [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] This provides a safe, long-term nesting location for the [REDACTED] of conservation concern.
- 12.1.2 Osprey receives special protection under Schedule 1 and Schedule ZA1 of the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). Under this legislation, it is an offence to disturb osprey while they're nesting, building a nest, in or near a nest that contains their young; or to disturb their dependent young. It is also an offence to remove or interfere with their nest, which is used year-on-year.
- 12.1.3 Whilst [REDACTED] is retained without any development, during construction, there is a risk of disturbance to osprey from construction and landscaping personnel and plant accessing the Site and entering this field. Precautions are given below to minimise the risk of disturbance.

12.2 Precautionary Approach to Works

- 12.2.1 During the months of March to October inclusive, no construction or landscaping personnel shall enter [REDACTED]. Temporary Biodiversity Protection Fencing shall exclude personnel, installed as per Method Statement 2.
- 12.2.2 In the event that access is required for any unforeseen reasons, then this shall be dependent on the absence of ospreys, or confirmation that the works in question do not pose a risk of disturbance. This shall be confirmed through an inspection by the EcoCoW, with a watching brief also provided during works as deemed necessary by the EcoCoW.
- 12.2.3 The Site Manager (s) will be responsible for liaising with the EcoCoW in advance of access into [REDACTED] during the months of March-October inclusive.



13 Method Statement 12: Construction-Phase Monitoring

13.1 Objectives

13.1.1 To ensure satisfactory achievement of all Method Statements and compliance with all relevant DCO Requirements, ecological legislation and policy, periodic monitoring of construction activities and protective measures will be undertaken.

13.2 Monitoring

13.2.1 Regular (weekly) inspections of the Site(s) and immediate surroundings will be undertaken by the Site Environmental Manager to monitor the integrity of the BPF fencing as well as for any signs of silt deposition, dust deposition, flooding, runoff and litter arising from the Site which could impact off-site habitats. This inspection will be recorded within a logbook to be made available to the Local Planning Authority upon request. Remedial action which may be required as soon as an issue is identified may include temporarily ceasing work, arranging litter picking, additional site hoarding, increased water spraying, and increased waste collection.

13.2.2 At least every month during the construction phase, the EcoCoW will inspect the Site to ensure the compliance with the EPMS. This will include checking the following:

- Correct installation of BPF;
- Safeguarding of retained habitats;
- Hedgerow and watercourse condition;
- Potential requirement for nesting bird monitoring for legal compliance;
- Adherence to lighting restrictions; and
- Status of badger activity within the Site.

13.2.3 Following these inspections, the EcoCoW will discuss monitoring outcomes with the Site Manager(s) and provide a written proforma of findings identifying any remedial actions and timescales for actions to be implemented.

13.2.4 The EcoCoW will also be available on an “on call” basis during the construction period.

13.3 Reporting

13.3.1 A quarterly report will be issued by the EcoCoW to the Local Planning Authority. The report will include a detailed log of monitoring activities by the Site Environmental Manager and EcoCoW. It will detail any breaches of the EPMS and the remedial steps taken.



14 Method Statement 13: Mitigation for Loss of Functionally Linked Land and Disturbance to SPA Species

14.1 Objectives

14.1.1 To avoid adverse impacts on the integrity of the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA/ Ramsar site, measures will be implemented to ensure that mobile species associated with this site (i.e. golden plover and lapwing) have alternative foraging areas available throughout construction and are not subject to undue disturbance which could impact their survival over winter.

14.2 Provision of Functionally Linked Land (FLL) Mitigation Fields

14.2.1 From the outset of construction, fields designated for FLL mitigation (see **Table 2** and **Figures 7.21.2, 7.2.13, 7.21.4** and **7.21.5**) will be managed to ensure their suitability for golden plover and lapwing.

14.2.2 If these fields have not been brought under the control of the Applicant prior to construction and have not already been converted to their proposed land management type, they will be managed as per their current land management type to ensure they remain suitable for foraging, until habitat conversion works can take place.

14.2.3 Where habitat conversion works have not taken place pre-construction, they will take place in the next available window following commencement of construction. For grassland seeding, these works are seasonally constrained to late summer/autumn (late July-October), or spring (March-May). Where an existing crop is present, conversion works may be delayed until the next window after harvest, to avoid an abortive crop planting.

14.2.4 Detail on the creation and long-term management of these habitats is provided within the OLEMP **[EX6/GH7.4_E]**.

Table 2: Details of FLL Mitigation Fields

Field Reference	Size (ha)	Current Land Management	Proposed Land Management
BF1	14.54	Grassland	Conservation grassland
EF25	8.29	Arable, cereal crops	Conservation grassland
CF2	8.29	Arable, cereal crops	Conservation grassland
DF4	10.56	Arable, cereal crops	Arable, spring-sown cereals
EF26	8.07	Arable, cereal crops	Conservation grassland
EF29	10.54	Grassland, grazed	Conservation grassland
EF30	10.45	Arable, cereal crops	Conservation grassland
FF7	18.35	Arable, cereal crops	Conservation grassland with wader scrapes
FF13	7.53	Arable, cereal crops	Conservation grassland
Total Area	96.62		



14.3 Avoidance of Significant Disturbance

- 14.3.1 As per Method Statement 10 in this document, during the winter months of November to February inclusive, any significant commencement or re-commencement of development works within fields will be immediately preceded (in the morning) by an inspection for the presence of flocks of overwintering birds, including golden plover and lapwing. The inspections should be carried out by the EcoCoW.
- 14.3.2 Where notable flocks are present within the field (flocks of >4 golden plover or >27 lapwing), works will be delayed until the flocks have dispersed of their own accord. Monitoring of the fields will be conducted by the EcoCoW to confirm that any flocks present have moved on, and that construction works can commence.
- 14.3.3 The aim will be to ensure that flocks of overwintering birds are not subject to displacement and disturbance stresses at this vulnerable time of year. As these flocks move about within the landscape on a daily basis, it should be possible to postpone mobilisation into undeveloped fields which are occupied by them by approximately one day to avoid impacts.
- 14.3.4 The Site Manager(s) will be responsible for liaising with the EcoCoW in advance of mobilisation into previously undeveloped fields during the winter months, in order to avoid disturbance of overwintering flocks of birds.

14.4 Monitoring

- 14.4.1 The EcoCoW will monitor the Site, as per Method Statement 12. During these inspections, the EcoCoW will also appraise the suitability of the FLL mitigation fields and whether they have been subject to habitat conversion works.
- 14.4.2 Following monitoring, the EcoCoW will discuss monitoring outcomes with the Site Manager(s) and provide a written proforma of findings identifying any remedial actions and timescales for actions to be implemented.
- 14.4.3 The EcoCoW will also be available on an “on call” basis during the construction period.